

## Consultation Response

# IGT174: Update of IGT UNC Code Communication Methods

Responses invited by: 16/12/2024

**Respondent Details**  
Name: Natasha Perry  
Organisation: BUUK

Support Implementation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Qualified Support	<input type="checkbox"/>
Neutral	<input type="checkbox"/>
Do Not Support	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Please briefly summarise the key reason(s) for your support / opposition**

Implementation support is given to this proposal based on the Modifications non-complexity, and the fact it is a government led decision. This change is necessary to enable communications relating to the IGT UNC to be modernised and as efficient as possible.

## **Self-Governance Statement**

**Do you agree with the Modification Panel's determination with respect to whether or not this should be a self-governance modification?**

We agree that IGT174 should be self-governance as this Modification meets the requirements for Self-Governance, and there are no identified material impacts. It is believed that compliance with UNC obligations will be unaffected by the update to Code Communications.

## **Please state any new or additional issues that you believe should be considered**

N/A

## **Relevant Objectives**

**How would implementation of this modification impact the relevant objectives?**

With the amended communication method, we agree that objective F, as the efficiency of the administration of the IGT UNC would only improve, as it is bettering our methods of communication to be more accessible, modernised and simple.

## **Impacts and Costs**

**What development and ongoing costs would you face if this modification was implemented?**

We would spend less time on the printing and maintenance that a facsimile machine requires. This would improve our contribution to Net Zero targets. There are no foreseen costs to us as a business as email is already an application that is available to everyone and allows us to continue using it as a way to communicate with other people instead of spending extra money on a new communications portal.

## **Implementation**

**What lead time would you wish to see prior to this modification being implemented, and why?**

We agree that the Modification should be implemented in line with the next IGT UNC release, following consultation, to make sure our communication methods are up to date. The simplicity of the Modification shows no reason as to why this change should be implemented later than it needs to be.

**Legal Text**

**Are you satisfied that the legal text will deliver the intent of the modification?**

Yes, the legal text is very clear and states all necessary changes to code.

**Further Comments**

**Is there anything further you wish to be taken into account?**

N/A

**Responses should be submitted by email to [IGTUNC@gemserv.com](mailto:IGTUNC@gemserv.com)**