

Stage 01: Modification Proposal

iGT071:

Updating the iGT AQ Review Procedures Ancillary Document

At what stage is this document in the process?

- 01 Modification Proposal
- 02 Workgroup Report
- 03 Draft Modification Report
- 04 Final Modification Report

This Modification seeks to amend the Ancillary Document for the iGT AQ Review Procedure to align with new processes expected to be introduced under Single Service Provision. It also recommends that the existing document be renamed.

The Proposer recommends that this modification should be:



- subject to self-governance
- sent to consultation



High Impact:
N/A



Medium Impact:
N/A



Low Impact:
All iGT UNC Parties

Contents

1. Plain English Summary	3
2. Rationale for Change	4
3. Solution	4
4. Relevant Objectives	5
5. Impacts and Costs.....	5
6. Likely Impact on Consumers	5
7. Likely Impact on Environment	6
8. Implementation.....	6
9. Legal Text	6
10. Recommendation	7

About this document:

This modification was originally presented by the proposer to the panel on 21st January 2015.

This is a varied modification following extensive workgroup discussion and is to be presented to the August 2016 Panel for their consideration.

The panel will consider the proposer's recommendation, and agree whether this modification should be subject to self-governance; and whether it should be issued for consultation or be referred to a workgroup for assessment.

It is the proposer's view that the modification meets the self-governance criteria. The proposal has also been updated following discussions at a number of iGT UNC workgroups.



Any questions?

Contact:
Code Administrator



igt-unc@gemserv.com



0207 090 1044

Proposer:
Amie Charalambous



Amie.Charalambous@npower.com



07917271763

iGT071

Modification Proposal

16 August 2016

Version 2.0

Page 2 of 7

© 2016 all rights reserved

Is this a Self-Governance Modification?

As an Ancillary Document to the iGT UNC, the Proposer believes that this proposal should be managed as a self-governance modification, and does not require authority consent.

If so, will this be progressed as a Fast Track Modification?

Not applicable because the delivery of this modification is in conjunction with iGT039 implementation.

Rationale for Change

As a result of iGT039 - Single Service Provision, all Ancillary Documents are being reviewed and sections amended to reflect the legal text that has been prepared to support the implementation of Modification Proposal iGT039. As such sections of the 'iGT AQ Review Procedures Document' need to be reviewed and assessed as to what needs to stay and what needs to be removed.

Solution

The solution is to remove most sections in the 'iGT AQ Review Procedures Document', as these processes will be performed under the UNC rules. However Sections 9 part (e) (reporting > iGT data collection) and Section 10 (annual updates to the AQ values within the CSEP NExA Table) shall be retained as these shall still fall under the scope of the iGT UNC.

Relevant Objectives

The proposer believes this modification better facilitates Objective (f) - Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code.

Implementation

The modification should be implemented post the Project Nexus Go Live date at an appropriate time to ensure that the production of the draft CSEP NEXA table by the iGTs uses the latest data that has been generated via the review of iGT Supply Point Aqs as carried out by the Pipeline Operator Agency under Single Service Provision.

2. Rationale for Change

As a result of iGT039 - Single Service Provision, all Ancillary Documents are being reviewed and sections amended to reflect the legal text that has been prepared to support the implementation of Modification Proposal iGT039. As such sections of the 'IGT AQ Review Procedures Document' need to be reviewed and assessed as to what needs to stay and what needs to be removed.

3. Solution

The solution is to remove most sections in the 'iGT AQ Review Procedures Document' as these processes will be performed under the UNC rules. However, Sections 9 part (e) (reporting > igt data collection) and Section 10 (annual updates to the AQ values within the CSEP NExA Table) shall be retained as these shall still fall under the scope of the iGT UNC.

There will also need to be changes to the rules by which an AQ is either EXCLUDED or INCLUDED when the AQ data is being collated (as set out in the current Section 9) together with the timings for the process (as set out in Section 10).

These have been developed by the workgroup and are set out in the proposed new Ancillary document (see Legal Text).

4. Relevant Objectives

Impact of the modification on the Relevant Objectives:	
Relevant Objective	Identified impact
a) Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system.	
b) Coordinated, efficient and economic operation of (i) the combined pipe-line system, and/ or (ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters.	
c) Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations.	
d) Securing of effective competition: (i) between relevant shippers; (ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or (iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation arrangements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers.	
e) Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards... are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers.	
f) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code.	Positive
g) Compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.	

The Proposer considers that this Modification would facilitate:

Objective F

Objective F) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code.

Objective F) has been met as the modification proposal will ensure that the CSEP NEXA table is updated using the most up-to-date and relevant data AQ data. It will also ensure that there is no confusion regarding the manner in which AQs are reviewed under Single Service Provision.

5. Impacts and Costs

There are no costs associated with this change

iGT071

Modification Proposal

6. Likely Impact on Consumers

None identified

16 August 2016

Version 2.0

Page 5 of 7

© 2016 all rights reserved

7. Likely Impact on Environment

None identified

8. Implementation

The modification should be implemented post the Project Nexus Go Live date at an appropriate time to ensure that the production of the draft CSEP NEXA table by the iGTs uses the latest data that has been generated via the review of iGT Supply Point AQs as carried out by the Pipeline Operator Agency under Single Service Provision.

The actual implementation date will depend on the Project Nexus Go Live date as it is essential that until the full AQ review process has been carried out under Single Service Provision processes by the Pipeline Operator Agency then the responsibility to directly provide the data to create a Proposed CSEP NEXA Table will remain with the iGTs under the existing procedure.

9. Legal Text

An updated Ancillary document is attached which has been developed through the discussions that have taken place at a number of iGT071 workgroup meetings. As the Ancillary document no longer relates to the actual AQ review process it is also suggested that the name of the Ancillary document be changed to the “*iGT CSEP NEXA Table Review Procedures Document*”.

Proposed new Ancillary Document (v1.5)

There will also need to be changes to the references to the document in Part CI 6.4, in Part K re the name of the Ancillary document, Part M of the iGT UNC for the “AQ Review Work Group” and the Proposed CSEP NEXA Table” together with changes to the NEXA Table Reporting Template C1:

Part CI 6.4

For the purposes of this Clause 6:

(a) on the First Supply Point Registration Date the Formula Year Annual Quantity and Rolling Annual Quantity of a Supply Point shall be equal to the RPC Entry Annual Quantity.

(b) the “**iGT CSEP NEXA Table Review Procedures Document** ~~iGT AQ Review Procedures~~” is the iGT UNC Ancillary Document so entitled and issued from time to time by the Pipeline Operator and which for the purposes of the Part K 43 only shall be deemed to be incorporated into and form part of the Code and the Pipeline Operator must follow the process “**Reporting**” and “**Annual updates to the AQ values within the CSEP NEXA Table**” as set out in the **iGT CSEP NEXA Table Review Procedures Document**. ~~iGT AQ Procedures~~.

APPENDIX K-2 iGT UNC Ancillary Documents

iGT CSEP NEXA Table Review Procedures ~~iGT AQ Review Procedures~~

Part M

“~~CSEP NEXA Table Review~~ **AQ Review Work Group**” shall have the meaning as set out in Section ~~40.2~~ of the iGT UNC Ancillary Document entitled the **iGT CSEP NEXA Table Review Procedures**~~iGT AQ Review Procedures~~;

iGT071

Modification Proposal

16 August 2016

Version 2.0

Page 6 of 7

© 2016 all rights reserved

"~~iGT CSEP NExA Table Review Procedures~~~~iGT AQ Review Procedures~~" shall have the meaning in Part CI 6.4(b);

"**Proposed CSEP NExA Table**" shall have the meaning as set out in Section 9.1 of the iGT UNC Ancillary Document entitled the ~~iGT CSEP NExA Table~~ ~~iGT AQ~~ Review Procedures;

Associated Templates

[NExA-Table-reporting-template-C1](#)

[Collated-IGT-NExA-Table-AQs-C2](#)

10. Recommendation

The Proposer invites the Panel to:

- Determine that this modification should be subject to self-governance;
- Determine that (where self-governance is recommended) this modification should/should not be subject to a fast track procedure;
- Determine that this modification should progress to consultation as the Workgroup has extensively developed the original proposal over a number of meetings.