

Stage 01: Modification Proposal

iGT070:

Removal of redundant Ancillary documents following the implementation of Single Service Provision

At what stage is this document in the process?



This modification seeks to facilitate the removal of ancillary documents from the iGT UNC Section K which become redundant following the introduction of Single Service Provision.

The Proposer recommends that this modification should be:



- subject to self-governance
- sent to consultation



High Impact:
N/A



Medium Impact:
N/A



Low Impact:
All iGT UNC Parties

Contents

- 1. Plain English Summary..... 3
- 2. Rationale for Change 4
- 3. Solution 4
- 4. Relevant Objectives 5
- 5. Impacts and Costs..... 6
- 6. Likely Impact on Consumers 6
- 7. Likely Impact on Environment 6
- 8. Implementation..... 6
- 9. Legal Text..... 6
- 10. Recommendation 7

About this document:










This modification will be presented by the proposer to the panel on **21 January 2015**.

The panel will consider the proposer’s recommendation, and agree whether this modification should be subject to self-governance; and whether it should be issued for consultation or be referred to a workgroup for assessment.

Guidance on the use of this Template:

This is an iGT UNC Modification Proposal template that the Proposer is asked to complete. All parts other than the Solution (which is "owned" by the Proposer) will be refined by the workgroup process. A separate checklist is also available to help identify impacts that, if material, should be recorded in this template.

The iGT UNC Representative is available to help and support the drafting of any Modification Proposals, including guidance on completion of this template and the wider modification process. Contact igt-unc@gemserv.co.uk or 0207 090 1044.


Any questions?
Contact: Code Administrator

igt-unc@gemserv.com

0207 090 1044
Proposer: Kirsty Dudley – E.ON

Kirsty.Dudley@eonen ergy.com

07816 172 645
Workgroup Chair: N/A

N/A

N/A
Additional contacts: N/A

N/A

N/A

1. Plain English Summary

The following **summary** should be completed in **plain English**, and should be as **brief** as possible. A more detailed exposition should be provided in the following sections.

Is this a Self-Governance Modification?

Clearly state if self-governance does or does not apply and why.

This modification is self-governance because there are no impacts to consumers and is for administration purposes in connection with the wider implementation of iGT039.

If so, will this be progressed as a Fast Track Modification?

Clearly state if Fast Track does or does not apply and why.

Not applicable because the delivery of this modification is in conjunction with iGT039 implementation.

Rationale for Change

The introduction of Single Service Provision will move processes previously provisioned under the iGT UNC into the UNC and will be conducted by Xoserve, therefore deeming the documents redundant and requiring their removal from the code. For clarity, these ancillary documents will only become redundant if and when iGT039 is implemented.

Solution

This modification seeks to remove the redundant ancillary documents from Section K to coincide with the introduction of Single Service Provision (iGT039) legal text amendments.

Relevant Objectives

The proposer believes this modification better facilitates Objective (f) - Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code.

Implementation

The implementation of this modification will be conjunction with the delivery of the implementation of Single Service Provision (iGT039).

2. Rationale for Change

Set out in plain English why the modification of the code is proposed – i.e. what is the defect in the existing code that has been identified and needs to be rectified. This may be either an issue with an existing code provision or an issue on which the iGT UNC is silent but should not be.

As part of iGT039 working group it was highlighted that changes in processes following the introduction of Single Service Provision (SSP) could result in some of the current ancillary documents becoming redundant. In November 2014 the iGT Shipper Workgroup reviewed all ancillary documents within Section K-2.

The group confirmed that the following were to be removed as they are no longer required because the process they relate to will no longer be followed post Single Service Provision implementation/Project Nexus go live – the individual sections being removed as part of iGT039 have also been referenced.

- Inspection Notification File Format and Response File
 - Section E 2.27 (Section E 3.6 in v8.3)
- Unbundled Meter Reading File Formats
 - Section E 2.28 (Section E 3.7 in v8.3)
- Meter Reading Validation Rules
 - Section E 8.3 (Section E 9.3 in v8.3)
- Fax Forms
 - Section CI 1.1 (Fax form meanings)
 - Section CI 8.1
- Third Party Metering and MAM ID Communication (removed in iGT39 wording)
 - Section D 1.3(c)
 - Section D 2.7
 - Section E 2.20 d (b)
 - Section E 2.20 e (b)
- Data Items Relevant to Smart Metering Flow Files (removed in iGT039 wording)
 - Section D 2.10

The individual references to these ancillary documents have been removed in the legal text that has been prepared to support the implementation of iGT039. The only amendment required was to remove the reference to these documents within Section K (K-2).

3. Solution

Set out in detail the iGT UNC changes that are proposed – what, not why. This section is "owned" by the proposer and will not be altered by the workgroup and so should set out the change you, as proposer, wish to see made – which you can amend later to take into account issues raised by a workgroup. This is also the section that will be used to draft the legal text that changes the iGT UNC. It should therefore be in sufficient detail to act as legal instructions and support the drafting of text. In general, the provision of business rules is recommended.

To remove all reference to the following ancillary documents from within Section K to align the ancillary document to the legal text being implemented as part of iGT039:

- Inspection Notification File Format and Response File
- Unbundled Meter Reading File Formats

iGT070

Modification Proposal

07 January 2015

Version 1.0

Page 4 of 7

© 2015 all rights reserved

- Meter Reading Validation Rules
- Fax Forms
- Third Party Metering and MAM ID Communication
- Data Items Relevant to Smart Metering

4. Relevant Objectives

Impact of the modification on the Relevant Objectives:	
Relevant Objective	Identified impact
a) Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system.	None
b) Coordinated, efficient and economic operation of (i) the combined pipe-line system, and/ or (ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters.	None
c) Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations.	None
d) Securing of effective competition: (i) between relevant shippers; (ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or (iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation arrangements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers.	None
e) Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards... are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers.	None
f) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code.	Positive
g) Compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.	None

*The following paragraphs should explain in detail and plain English **how** each of the impacts identified above would arise and **how** this impacts the relevant objective identified.*

The Workgroup consider that this Modification would facilitate:

Objective f)

- f) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code.

The introduction of iGT039 will introduce a Single Service Provision which renders the documents redundant therefore the removal of them will be prudent to ensure the appropriate processes are followed and referenced within the iGT UNC. Removal of them will also make the iGT UNC clearer to the Code parties.

iGT070
Modification Proposal

07 January 2015

Version 1.0

Page 5 of 7

© 2015 all rights reserved

5. Impacts and Costs

This section should list the industry impacts and costs associated with the implementation of this Modification, as identified by the Workgroup. Potential areas impacted include Transporter and Shipper systems and processes, Code Administration and impact on the Code itself. Further guidance on completing this section is available in the Modification Checklist.

None identified

6. Likely Impact on Consumers

Please detail any anticipated impacts of the proposed Modification specifically on consumers.

None identified

7. Likely Impact on Environment

Please detail any anticipated impacts of the proposed Modification on the environment (e.g. increased carbon emissions).

None identified

8. Implementation

Provide any views you have on the anticipated impact of the proposed Modification on all parties (such as Transporters, Shippers, central systems, customers) in terms of the costs and benefits of a range of implementation options where appropriate.

If a suggested implementation date is not provided and the decision is to accept the modification, then the Transporters will set the implementation date.

The modification should be implemented at the Project Nexus Go Live date to coincide with changes being applied to the UNC under Nexus Mods 432, 434 and Mods 440 and the iGT UNC under iGT039.

9. Legal Text

While the Proposer is welcome to put forward suggested legal text, text will be provided by the Transporters when requested by the Modification Panel.

APPENDIX K-2 iGT UNC Ancillary Documents
Pipeline Operator Standards of Service Query Management
[Inspection Notification File Format and Response File](#)
[iGT UNC Meter Reading Validation Rules and Rejection Codes](#)

iGT070

Modification Proposal

07 January 2015

Version 1.0

Page 6 of 7

© 2015 all rights reserved

~~Use of Unbundled Meter Readings File Format and Response Files for Cyclic Meter Readings~~
Password Protection Protocols
iGT AQ Review Procedures
~~Fax Forms— Smaller Supply Point and Fax Forms— Larger Supply Point~~
RPC Invoice Template
CSEP NExA Tables
~~Third Party Metering Activity and MAM ID Communication~~
~~Data Items Relevant to Smart Metering File Flows~~

The implementation of this Modification Proposal will result in the deletion of the Ancillary Documents as indicated in the above list.

10. Recommendation

If it is recommended that the modification is issued directly to consultation, the Proposer should provide a justification. If workgroup assessment is recommended, the proposer may outline a recommended timetable and indicate any particular areas that a workgroup is asked to consider.

The Proposer invites the Panel to:

- Determine that this modification should be subject to Self-Governance rules; and
- Determine that this modification should progress to Consultation.